

[25] From: BBrown 12/9/92 9:16AM (1602 bytes: 27 ln)

To: KMeyer, RStrickd

cc: PRAack, LZaprows

Subject: carrier air: dynamac billing

----- Message Contents -----

ken, get with beth early monday to talk about '89 costs associated with TES 8 site (23 & 29)...there's some serious question about (late) billed costs as part of a cost recovery effort

then, you can follow up with dave rusher

and help safadi test his system by seeing how much we have paid on this site...

thanks

Ken, Although we've talked and I've gotten a pretty good idea of why we were billed late, have you had a chance to find out from Dave Rusher if they've finished billing us?

Ray,

I'd like to get their (this includes anybody who has retroactively billed us) costs separated out by year even though we are calling them 92 costs. Pete referred to this issue in his cc:mail 12/8. Because I am pretty sure they've finished billing us, I'd like to get some idea of what their 89, 90, 91, 92 costs actually were. In the costs package Lenny had, I saw what appeared to be a breakdown of the invoices by year that we are billing them for 92. I don't think this is a very involved task because the information is already gathered. Let me know if you have any questions.



10626325